Attorney Docket No. 03387/LH

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s):

Kouji CHIKAISHI et al

Serial No.

10/613,556

Filed

July 2, 2003

For

SERVICE VEHICLE

Art Unit

3671

Examiner

Meredith C. PETRAVICK

RESPONSE - 37 C.F.R. 1.111

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

This is responsive to the Office Action mailed February 8, 2005, the term for response to which expires on May 8, 2005.

ELECTION:

Applicants hereby provisionally elect Group I, claims 9, 10 and 12, for further prosecution on the merits, with traverse.

TRAVERSE:

It is respectfully submitted that Group II (claim 11, which depends from claim 9) belongs to the same species for the purpose of examination as provisionally elected Group I. Both Groups I and II comprise the same downsizing device (i.e., the same patentable invention), and it is not understood why they are regarded as different species based solely on the presence of a cab top. The basic inventive concept of Groups I and II are the

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION TO NO. 1-703-872-9306

TOTAL PAGES: 2 I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Commissioner for Patents on the date noted below.

Nalini P. Sahadeo

Dated: March 1. 2005

In the event that this Paper is late filed, and the necessary petition for extension of time is not filed concurrently herewith. please consider this as a Petition for the requisite extension of time, and to the extent not tendered by credit card (Form PTO-2038 attached hereto), authorization to charge the extension fee to Account No. 06-1378.

same and, therefore, these two groups of claims should be included in the same species.

Moreover, claim 11 depends from claim 9 and should be allowable if claim 9 (or an amended version thereof) is found to be allowable.

It is respectfully requested that the Examiner reconsider the election requirement with respect to Groups I and II, and consider Groups I and II (all of claims 9, 10, 11 and 12) on the merits.

It is respectfully submitted that the foregoing is fully responsive to the outstanding Office Action. It is respectfully requested that prosecution on the merits now proceed with respect to Groups I and II, claims 9, 10, 11 and 12.

If the Examiner has any comments, questions, objections or recommendations, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the telephone number given below for prompt action.

Respectfully submytted,

Leonard Holt, Esq Reg. No. 27,974

Dated: March 1, 2005

Frishauf, Holtz, Goodman & Chick, P.C. 767 Third Avenue - 25th Floor New York, New York 10017-2023 Tel. No. (212) 319-4900 Fax No. (212) 319-5101 LH/sdf/nps